

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/646,577	08/21/2003	John Vanlterson	API-114-A	9543	
7590 12/30/2004		EXAMINER			
Donald L. Wood, Esq.			BLANKENSHIP, GREGORY A		
Young & Basil Suite 624	e, P.C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3001 West Big Beaver Road			3612		
Troy, MI 480			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/646,577	VANLTERSON, JOHN	RV			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Greg Blankenship	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl tf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on elect	ion filed10/18/2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13-17</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4-6,16 and 17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	Pr					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/21/2003</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction in the reply filed on 10/18/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claim product claims require molding of the mounting housing structure with the main body portion. This is not found persuasive because the process limitations of the structure claims (claims 1-6 and 13-17) are given little patentable weight since the resulting structure is not different than a structure in which the main body and the housing structure are molded separately and then attached using adhesive or the like. Also, the structure claims and the method claims have different classification to further show a difference between the two sets of claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McClain et al. (4,893,865).

McClain et al. disclose a cowl grille structure (16). The cowl grille structure (16) has a main body planar leaf screen (76) that has an integrally molded washer fluid channel (78). The channel (78) extends laterally with respect to the vehicle and is capable of being made by a gas assisted molding step. Nozzles (82) are fluidly connected to the channel and are located on the upper surface of the main body (76). The nozzles are spaced apart laterally.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,577

Art Unit: 3612

Double Patenting

Page 3

4. Applicant is advised that should claims 3-5 be found allowable, claims 15-17 will be objected

to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application

are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight

difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a

substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Greg Blankenship whose telephone number is (703) 305-0223.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Art Unit: 3612

or:

(703) 746-3511, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label "FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY", "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

gab December 27, 2004

GREGORY BLANKENSHIP PATENT EXAMINER